

Notice of Liability:

Media: Executives, Producers, Editors, Directors, Writers, Reporters, Anchors, Radio/TV Hosts, Etc.



How to Serve the Notice of Liability

- Print two copies of the Notice of Liability.
- Fill in the name of the person you are serving it to in the space provided at the top of the first page - on both copies.
- Sign your name and fill in the date in the space provided on the last page - on both copies.
- Keep one copy of the Notice for your records.
- Give the second copy to the person you are serving it to. If they choose not to accept it, then leave it on the floor at their feet.
- If you are serving the Notice in person, be sure to video record yourself serving it (or audio record if video is not possible).
- **If sending by mail, you must use registered mail as that provides proof of delivery.**

Keep all information (eg. Liability Notice copy, video, mailing proof etc.) in a safe place for future use.

NOTE: You do not need a lawyer to serve a Notice of Liability and you do not need consent, or the signature of the person you are serving it to.

Disclaimer: Action4Canada accepts no responsibility or liability for any harms or losses that occur as result of serving a notice of liability. If you do not agree to these terms, then please do not use this notice. We do not make any representations or warranties about the potential consequences of serving a Notice of Liability. This information is not intended as legal or health advice.

Notice of Liability
Executives, Producers, Editors, Directors, Writers, Reporters, Anchors, Radio/TV Hosts, Etc.

Attn: _____

Date Published and News Source: _____

Violation: Accuracy Fairness Right to privacy Independence Conflict of interest Transparency
Diversity Accountability Hate Speech Other _____

Re: Violation of the Principles of Ethical Media Standards in reporting to Canadians including, but not limited to COVID-19.

This is your official and personal notice of liability. I strongly recommend you carefully read this entire Notice of Liability. If I take legal action, it will be used as evidence against you.

Under the Canadian Association of Broadcasters' Code of Ethics¹, the following standards are not being upheld by proprietors and managers and, therefore, contribute to the failure of journalists to maintain honest reporting standards.

Clause 2 - ensuring that programming contains no abusive or unduly discriminatory material or comment

Clause 5 (1)(2)(3)(4) - reporting accurately and without bias, defining opinion from fact, and according to the code of ethics

Clause 7 - failing to report all sides

Clause 13 - advertising - must be truthful

Clause 14 - advertising - no influence on reporting by advertisers

Journalists and the media have a duty to verify the veracity of information published and provide balanced perspectives that represents opposing points of view. Media personnel have an obligation, when reporting on matters that include, but aren't limited to, business, educational, recreational, health, informational, political, and cultural issues to do so in a manner that provides a service to the public, in all its interests. You have further obligations to ensure that news coverage and reporting is neither for purposes that further nor hinder either side of controversial public issues. In a democratic nation the purpose of journalistic and news-related endeavours is to enable people to learn about critical issues so that they may form their own conclusions.

You have further duties, as a fundamental and prime consideration, to ensure the full, fair and proper presentation of all news, reports, opinions, comments and editorials. Your duties are to ensure that there is no influence, or the perception of influence, on reporting of any of the news while ensuring balance, objectivity, impartiality, fairness and integrity as paramount principles governing your actions.

By failing to report honestly, accurately and without bias you are misleading the public and are acting in bad faith and in violation of all, or part, of the Canadian Association of Journalists Ethics Guidelines². Under these Ethics Guidelines you are responsible for: serving democracy and the public interest by reporting the truth; promoting the free flow of information; exposing crime and wrongdoing; protecting public health and safety, and preventing the public from being misled; being accountable to the public for the fairness and reliability of your reporting; being suspect of studies commissioned by those with a vested interest, such as drug companies, and ensuring care is used in maintaining the context of such studies³.

Coverage of COVID-19 is a prime example of your failure to report all aspects of the issue ethically and honestly. A one-sided and biased narrative is consistently being presented which is misleading the public, instilling high levels of fear, and paralyzing our society.

¹ <https://www.cbac.ca/codes/cab-code-of-ethics/>

² https://action4canada.com/wp-content/uploads/Media-ethics_guidelines.pdf

³ <https://caj.ca/ethics-guidelines>

Promotion or marketing of medical treatments is a serious breach of the law and the ethical journalistic standards. It is a violation to prescribe, recommend, facilitate, advertise, mandate, incentivise, encourage, threaten, intimidate, or use coercion to pressure Canadians into complying with taking ANY vaccine, including the experimental COVID-19 injection, commonly referred to as a “vaccine.”

The government Orders and mandates are responsible for egregious crimes against humanity, violations of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, human rights and criminal code offenses, privacy right infringements and violate the voluntary consent of the individual. The outcome is an unprecedented increase in job loss, breakdown of relationships, depression, isolation and anxiety leading to increased drug addiction, domestic abuse, and suicide. The withholding of safe and effective treatments, such as Ivermectin, has caused many avoidable deaths, and the high rate of adverse effects including death, associated with the experimental gene therapies remain unreported.

No-one, no matter what their position, is statutorily immune from personal claims against them if they are in violation of the Criminal Code or guilty of dishonesty, acting in bad faith, gross negligence or malicious or willful misconduct. Every Canadian citizen has a duty to uphold the laws in Canada and the Constitution. Section 52(1) of the Constitution Act, 1982, clearly states that any law that is inconsistent with the Constitution is of no force and effect.

If the Federal Government had invoked the Federal Emergencies Act, which it has not, even that Emergencies Act states:

AND WHEREAS the Governor in Council, in taking such special temporary measures, would be subject to the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* and the *Canadian Bill of Rights* and must have regard to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, particularly with respect to those **fundamental rights that are not to be limited or abridged even in a national emergency.**

By endorsing and aggressively promoting the government's unlawful actions you are complicit and are at risk of vicarious liability as a result of committing tort, acting in bad faith, and willful misconduct due to a reckless disregard for Canadians' safety, including my own, and failing to exercise reasonable care to prevent a known danger.

The government claims that we are in a public health emergency. There is no evidence to substantiate this claim. In fact, the evidence indicates that we are experiencing a rate of infection consistent with a normal influenza season⁴.

The purported increase in “cases” is a direct consequence of increased testing through the inappropriate use of the PCR instrument to diagnose so-called COVID-19. It has been well established that the PCR test was never designed nor intended as a diagnostic tool and is not an acceptable instrument to measure this so-called pandemic. Its inventor, Kary Mullis, has clearly indicated that the PCR testing device was never created to test for coronaviruses⁵. Mullis warns that, “The PCR Test can be used to find almost anything, in anybody. If you can amplify one single molecule, then you can find it because that molecule is nearly in every single person”.

Despite this warning, the current PCR test utilization, set at higher amplifications, is producing up to 97% false positives⁶. Therefore, any imposed emergency measures that are based on PCR testing are unwarranted, unscientific, and quite possibly fraudulent. An international consortium of life-science scientists has also detected 10 major scientific flaws at the molecular and methodological level in a 3-peer review analysis of the RT-PCR test to detect SARS-CoV-2⁷.

In November 2020, a Portuguese court ruled that PCR tests are unreliable⁸. On December 14, 2020, the WHO admitted the PCR Test has a ‘problem’ at high amplifications as it detects dead cells from old viruses, giving a false positive⁹.

⁴ <https://www.bitchute.com/video/nQgq0BxXfZ4f>

⁵ <https://rumble.com/vhu4rz-kary-mullis-inventor-of-the-pcr-test.html>

⁶ <https://academic.oup.com/cid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cid/ciaa1491/5912603>

⁷ <https://cormandrogenreview.com/report/>

⁸ <https://unitynewsnetwork.co.uk/portuguese-court-rules-pcr-tests-unreliable-quarantines-unlawful-media-blackout/>

⁹ <https://principia-scientific.com/who-finally-admits-covid19-pcr-test-has-a-problem/>

Feb 16, 2021, BC Health Officer Bonnie Henry, admitted PCR tests are unreliable¹⁰. On April 8, 2021, the Austrian court ruled the PCR was unsuited for COVID testing¹¹. On April 8, 2021, a German Court ruled against PCR testing stating, “the test cannot provide any information on whether a person is infected with an active pathogen or not, because the test cannot distinguish between “dead” matter and living matter”¹². On May 8, 2021, the Swedish Public Health Agency stopped PCR Testing for the same reason¹³. On May 10th, 2021, Manitoba’s Chief Microbiologist and Laboratory Specialist, Dr. Jared Bullard testified under cross-examination in a trial before the court of the Queen’s Bench in Manitoba, that PCR test results do not verify infectiousness and were never intended to be used to diagnose respiratory illnesses¹⁴.

Based on this compelling and factual information, the emergency use of the COVID-19 experimental injections is not required or recommended.

Whereas:

1. Nuremberg Code¹⁵, to which Canada is a signatory, states that voluntary informed consent is essential before performing medical experiments on human beings. It also confirms that the person involved should have the legal capacity to give consent, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved so as to enable him/her to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This requires, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experiment’s subject, that there should be made known to him/her the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effects upon his/her health or person which may possibly come from participation in the experiment.
2. The treatments being marketed as COVID-19 “vaccines”, are still in Phase III clinical trials until 2023¹⁶, and hence qualify as a medical experiment. People taking these treatments are enrolled as test-subjects and many are unaware that the injections are not actual vaccines as they do not contain a virus but instead an experimental gene therapy.
3. Vaccine development is a long, complex process, often lasting 10-15 years¹⁷. COVID-19 injections have only been in trials for just over a year so there is no long-term safety data available and therefore fully informed consent is not possible.
4. No other coronavirus vaccine (i.e., MERS, SARS-1) has ever been approved for market due to antibody-dependent enhancement, which results in severe illness and death in animal models¹⁸.
5. Numerous doctors, scientists, and medical experts are issuing dire warnings about the short and long-term effects of COVID-19 injections, including but not limited to, death, blood clots, infertility, miscarriages, Bell’s Palsy, cancer, inflammatory conditions, autoimmune disease, early-onset dementia, convulsions, anaphylaxis, inflammation of the heart¹⁹, and antibody-dependent enhancement leading to death; this includes in children ages 12-17 years old²⁰. Dr. Byram Bridle, a pro-vaccine Associate Professor of Viral Immunology at the University of Guelph, gives a terrifying warning of the harms of the experimental treatments in a new peer reviewed scientifically published research study²¹ on COVID-19 shots. The Spike Protein added to the “vaccine” gets into the blood and circulates throughout the individuals over several days post-vaccination. It then accumulates in the tissues such as the spleen, bone marrow, liver, adrenal glands, testes, and of great concern, it accumulates in high concentrations in the ovaries. Dr. Bridle notes that they “have known for a long time that the Spike Protein is a pathogenic protein, it is a

¹⁰ <https://rumble.com/vhww4d-bc-health-officer-admits-pcr-test-is-unreliable.html>

¹¹ <https://greatgameindia.com/austria-court-pcr-test/>

¹² <https://2020news.de/en/sensational-verdict-from-weimar-no-masks-no-distance-no-more-tests-for-pupils/>

¹³ <https://tapnewswire.com/2021/05/sweden-stops-pcr-tests-as-covid19-diagnosis/>

¹⁴ <https://www.jccf.ca/Manitoba-chief-microbiologist-and-laboratory-specialist-56-of-positive-cases-are-not-infectious/>

¹⁵ https://media.tghn.org/medialibrary/2011/04/BMJ_No_7070_Volume_313_The_Nuremberg_Code.pdf

¹⁶ <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04368728?term=NCT04368728&draw=2&rank=1>

¹⁷ <https://www.historyofvaccines.org/content/articles/vaccine-development-testing-and-regulation>

¹⁸ <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21645515.2016.1177688>

¹⁹ <https://www.nbcconnecticut.com/news/coronavirus/connecticut-confirms-at-least-18-cases-of-apparent-heart-problems-in-young-peopleafter-covid-19-vaccination/2494534/>

²⁰ <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-data-reports-injuries-12-to-17-year-olds-more-than-triple/>

²¹ <https://omny.fm/shows/on-point-with-alex-pierson/new-peer-reviewed-study-on-covid-19-vaccines-sugge>

toxin, and can cause damage if it gets into blood circulation”. The study confirms the combination is causing clotting, neurological damage, bleeding, heart problems, etc.

There is also a high concentration of the Spike Protein getting into breast milk, and subsequent reports of suckling infants developing bleeding disorders in the gastrointestinal tract. There are further warnings that this injection will render children infertile, and that people who have been vaccinated should NOT donate blood.

6. People under the age of 30 are at a very low risk of contracting or transmitting this respiratory illness. According to the statistical expert David Spiegelhalter of the University of Cambridge and Office of National Statistics (ONS) of the United Kingdom, risk of death from COVID for the age group between 15 and 24 is 1 in 218,399. (Referenced on Page 8 of “An Assessment of Covid-19...”)²². Per the American Council on Science and Health, as well as the National Institutes of Health (NIH), “the estimated age-specific Infection Fatality Rate (IFR) is very low for children and younger adults (e.g., 0.002% at age 10 and 0.01% at age 25) which translates to a survivability rate of 99.99% to 99.998%, whereas the IFR is 0.4% at age 55 and 1.4% at 65 translating to a survivability rate of 99.6% to 98.6% respectively^{23 24}. Despite these facts, the government is pushing the experimental treatment resulting in the tragic outcome of a high incidence of injury and death²⁵.
7. According to Health Canada's Summary Basis of Decision²⁶, updated May 20, 2021, the trials have not proven that the COVID-19 treatments prevent infection or transmission. The Summary also reports that both Moderna and Pfizer identified that there are six areas of missing (limited/no clinical data) information: “use in paediatric (age 0-18)”, “use in pregnant and breastfeeding women”, “long-term safety”, “long-term efficacy” including “real-world use”, “safety and immunogenicity in subjects with immune-suppression”, and concomitant administration of non-COVID vaccines”.

Under the Risk Management plan section of the Summary Basis of Decision, it includes a statement based on clinical and non-clinical studies that “one important potential risk was identified being vaccine-associated enhanced disease, including VAERD (vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease)”. In other words, the shot increases the risk of disease and side-effects, and weakens immunity toward future SARS related illness.

In an article titled “Vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 and disease enhancement – knowns and unknowns” published on NCBI they specifically state: “The possibility of vaccine-induced disease enhancement after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 has been flagged as a potential safety concern that requires particular attention by the scientific community, including the World Health Organization (WHO), the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI) and the International Coalition of Medicines Regulatory Authorities (ICMRA)”²⁷.

8. As reported to the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) in the United States, there have been more deaths from the COVID-19 injections in five months (Dec. 2020 – May 2021) than deaths recorded in the last 23 years from all vaccines combined²⁸. It is further reported that only one percent of vaccine injuries are reported to VAERS²⁹, compounded by delay in uploading the adverse events to the VAERS database³⁰.

On November 5, 2021, VAERS data release for the period December 14, 2020 to October 29, 2021, showed 856,919 adverse events reports following COVID-19 injections, including 18,078 deaths and 131,027 serious injuries. Of that total, 1,320 adverse injury reports were of miscarriage or premature birth; 3,090 reported cases of Bell’s Palsy; 2,070 reports of serious anaphylaxis; 10,686 reports of blood clotting disorders; and 3,030 cases of myocarditis and pericarditis³¹.

²² <https://ghorganisation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/GHO-updated-pdf.pdf>

²³ <https://www.acsh.org/news/2020/11/18/covid-infection-fatality-rates-sex-and-age-15163>

²⁴ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7721859/>

²⁵ <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14760584.2020.1800463>

²⁶ <https://action4canada.com/wp-content/uploads/Summary-Basis-of-Decision-COVID-19-Vaccine-Moderna-Health-Canada.pdf>

²⁷ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7566857/>

²⁸ <https://vaccineimpact.com/2021/CDC-death-toll-following-experimental-cOvid-injections-now-at-4863-more-than-23-previous-years-of-recorded-vaccine-deaths-according-to-vaers/>

²⁹ https://www.lewrockwell.com/2019/10/no_author/harvard-medical-school-professors-uncover-a-hard-to-swallow-truth-about-vaccines/

³⁰ <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/reporting-vaccinations.html>

³¹ <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaers-cdc-adverse-events-deaths-covid-vaccines/>

Dr. McCullough, a highly cited COVID doctor, came to the stunning conclusion that the government was “...scrubbing unprecedented numbers of injection-related-deaths”. He further added, “...with a typical new drug at about five deaths, unexplained deaths, we get a black-box warning, your listeners would see it on TV, saying it may cause death. And then at about 50 deaths it’s pulled off the market”³².

9. Canada’s Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI) is a passive reporting system and is not widely promoted to the public, and is extremely time-consuming for physicians to use hence, many adverse events are going unreported there.
- 10. Safe and effective treatments and preventive measures already exist for COVID-19 yet the government is prohibiting their use**^{33 34}.

Under the *Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act of Canada*³⁵, a crime against humanity means, among other things, murder, any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity according to customary international law, conventional international law, or by virtue of its being criminal according to the general principles of law are recognized by the community of nations, whether or not it constitutes a contravention of the law in force at the time and in the place of its commission. The Act also confirms that every person who conspires or attempts to commit, **is an accessory after the fact**, in relation to, or councils in relation to, a crime against humanity, is guilty of an offence and liable to imprisonment for life.

Under sections **265(1)(a)(b)** and 266 of the *Criminal Code of Canada*³⁶, a person commits an assault when, without the consent of another person, he applies force intentionally to that other person, directly or indirectly. This applies even under attempts or threats, if the employee believes on reasonable grounds that the employer has the ability to do so. Everyone who commits an assault is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, or an offence punishable on summary conviction.

You cannot lawfully compel citizens to be criminally assaulted as a condition of employment, education or receiving essential or non-essential services.

Intimidation³⁷

423 (1) Everyone is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who, wrongfully and without lawful authority, for the purpose of compelling another person to abstain from doing anything that he or she has a lawful right to do, or to do anything that he or she has a lawful right to abstain from doing.

(b) intimidates or attempts to intimidate that person or a relative of that person by threats that, in Canada or elsewhere, violence or other injury will be done to, or punishment inflicted on him or her or a relative of his or hers, or that the property of any of them will be damaged...

It is a further violation of the *Canadian Criminal Code*³⁸, to endanger the life of another person. Sections 216, 217, 217.1 and 221.

Duty of persons undertaking acts dangerous to life

Sec. 216: Everyone who undertakes to administer surgical or medical treatment to another person or to do any other lawful act that may endanger the life of another person is, except in cases of necessity, under a legal duty to have and to use reasonable knowledge, skill and care in so doing. R.S., c. C-34, s. 198

³² <https://leohohmann.com/2021/04/30/highly-cited-covid-doctor-comes-to-stunning-conclusion-govt-scrubbing-unprecedented-numbers-of-injection-related-deaths/>

³³ <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/study-finds-84-fewer-hospitalizations-for-patients-treated-with-controversial-drug-hydroxychloroquine>

³⁴ <https://alethonews.com/2021/05/26/five-recently-published-randomized-controlled-trials-confirm-major-statistically-significant-benefits-of-ivermectin-against-covid-19/>

³⁵ <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-45.9/page-1.html>

³⁶ <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/page-57.html#docCont>

³⁷ <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/section-423.html>

³⁸ <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/page-51.html#docCont>

Duty of persons undertaking acts

Sec. 217: Everyone who undertakes to do an act is under a legal duty to do it if an omission to do the act is or may be dangerous to life.

Duty of persons directing work

Sec. 217.1: Everyone who undertakes, or has the authority, to direct how another person does work or performs a task is under a legal duty to take reasonable steps to prevent bodily harm to that person, or any other person, arising from that work or task.

Causing bodily harm by criminal negligence

Sec. 221: Every person who by criminal negligence causes bodily harm to another person is guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 10 years; or,
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Based on the *Genetic Non-Discrimination Act, Bill S-201*³⁹, it is an indictable offence to force anyone to take a DNA/RNA test or deny any service, employment, or education opportunity to anyone who refuses to take such a test. The punishment is a fine not exceeding \$1,000,000 or imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, or both.

Domestically, in the seminal decision of *Hopp v Lepp*, [1980] 2 SCR 192⁴⁰, the Supreme Court of Canada determined that cases of non-disclosure of risks and medical information fall under the law of negligence. Hopp also clarified the standard of informed consent and held that, even if a certain risk is only a slight possibility which ordinarily would not be disclosed, but which carries serious consequences, such as paralysis or death, the material risk must be revealed to the patient.

The duty of disclosure for informed consent is rooted in an individual's right to bodily integrity and respect for patient autonomy. In other words, a patient has the right to understand the consequences of medical treatment regardless of whether those consequences are deemed improbable, and have determined that, although medical opinion can be divided as to the level of disclosure required, the standard is simple, "A Reasonable Person Would Want to Know the Serious Risks, Even if Remote." *Hopp v Lepp*, supra; *Bryan v Hicks*, 1995 CanLII 172 (BCCA); *British Columbia Women's Hospital Center*, 2013 SCC 30.⁴¹

Vaccination is voluntary in Canada⁴². Even if the government attempts to mandate it, there is no law, nor can there be, as it is a violation of the Constitution, Human Rights, International Agreements, etc. Media are infringing on human rights and putting themselves personally at risk of a civil lawsuit for damages, and potential imprisonment, by attempting to impose ANY vaccine including the COVID-19 experimental injections on the citizens of Canada. Canadian law has long recognized that individuals have the right to control what happens to their bodies, without punishment or penalty for so doing.

The citizens of Canada are protected under the medical and legal ethics of express informed consent, and are entitled to the full protections guaranteed under:

- **Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms**⁴³ (1982) Section 2a, 2b, 7, 8, 9, 15.
- **Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights**⁴⁴ (2005)
- **Nuremberg Code**⁴⁵ (1947)
- **Helsinki Declaration**⁴⁶ (1964, Revised 2013) Article 25, 26

³⁹ <https://www.parl.ca/DocumentViewer/en/42-1/bill/S-201/royal-assent>

⁴⁰ <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2553/index.do>

⁴¹ <https://www.canlii.org/en/ca/scc/doc/2013/2013scc30/2013scc30.html?resultIndex=1>

⁴² https://web.archive.org/web/20080414131846/http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/97vol23/23s4/23s4b_e.html

⁴³ <https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/services/how-rights-protected/guide-canadian-charter-rights-freedoms.html>

⁴⁴ <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science-and-technology/bioethics-and-human-rights>

⁴⁵ <http://www.cirp.org/library/ethics/nuremberg/>

⁴⁶ <https://www.wma.net/what-we-do/medical-ethics/declaration-of-helsinki/>

According to top constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati, “both government and private businesses cannot impose mandatory vaccinations...mandatory vaccination in all employment context would be unconstitutional and/or illegal and unenforceable”⁴⁷.

All Canadian law, contrary to misinformation spread by the WHO, does not allow for “implied consent.” The Mature Minor doctrine cannot override the wishes and consent of the parents outside of the emergency threat of imminent harm or death. Vaccinations do not fall under the Mature Minor doctrine⁴⁸.

I am holding you personally liable for the deception and distortion of facts which you have perpetrated on the public regarding the withholding of information and/or promotion of hate speech including but not limited to, the harms of masking, lockdowns, social distancing, PCR testing, the experimental injections (“vaccines”) as well as the targeted attacks against any dissenting voice, including medical professionals.

Under **Section 319(1)(2)⁴⁹ of the Criminal Code** it is an offence to publicly incite or promote hatred against any identifiable group. This has a maximum penalty of imprisonment of not more than 2 years.

It is the duty of every Canadian citizen to uphold the law and respect the Constitution and Charter Rights. No-one, no matter what their position, is statutorily immune from personal claims against them if they are in violation of the Criminal Code. You are personally not protected under any Act, Order or Statute that is in violation of the Canadian Rule of Law, Constitution and Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

To avoid legal action, you must immediately cease and desist your participation in unethical and deceptive reporting. You have been duly warned.

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

⁴⁷ <https://www.constitutionalrightscentre.ca/employee-rights-the-covid-19-vaccine/>

⁴⁸ <https://www.bitchute.com/video/W5qSPiy1onXt/>

⁴⁹ <http://efc.ca/pages/law/cc/cc.319.html>